

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
11/02/2001	Irwin Kotovsky	K0T0V-11	1332
7590 06/14/2005		EXAMINER	
tz		WARD, J	OHN A
•		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
213		2875	
	06/14/2005 tz	06/14/2005 tz	06/14/2005 EXAM. tz WARD, J

DATE MAILED: 06/14/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				· Ku ,			
		Application No.	Applicant(s)	7.11			
Office Action Summary		10/005,255		KOTOVSKY, IRWIN			
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		John A. Ward	2875				
- Period fo	<ul> <li>The MAILING DATE of this communication r Reply</li> </ul>	appears on the cover	sheet with the correspondenc	e address			
THE N - Extens after S - If the p - If NO - Failum Any re	DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REMAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION SIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF (SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by seply received by the Office later than three months after the red patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DN. R 1.136(a). In no event, howe n. a reply within the statutory mini eriod will apply and will expire S tatute, cause the application to	ver, may a reply be timely filed mum of thirty (30) days will be considered SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133	this communication.			
Status							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2	20 May 2005.					
•	•	This action is non-final.					
3) 🗌	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition	on of Claims						
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-3,5,6,8,9,11 and 14-17 is/are portal of the above claim(s) is/are with Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1,2,8,9,11,15 and 17 is/are reject Claim(s) 3,5,6,14 and 16 is/are objected to Claim(s) are subject to restriction and all of the content of the content of the claim(s) are subject to restriction and the content of	ndrawn from considera ed. o.	ation.				
Application	on Papers						
10) 🗌 -	The specification is objected to by the Exar The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to	accepted or b) obj	in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(				
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co The oath or declaration is objected to by th						
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
a)[	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docum 2. Certified copies of the priority docum 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International But ee the attached detailed Office action for a	nents have been rece nents have been rece priority documents ha ureau (PCT Rule 17.2	ived. ived in Application No ive been received in this Nation (a)).				
2) Notice 3) Inform	(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948 nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/S	3) B/08) 5) 🔲	Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date Notice of Informal Patent Application Other:	ı (PTO-152)			

Art Unit: 2875

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 1, 2, 8, 9 and 11 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1, 2.

4. 7 and 8 of copending Application No. 10/160,545. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because each of the applications include a lighting fixture having at least two lamps, a one piece layer having plurality of holes, the layer fitting into the building structure and each hole having edges which are straight or at an angle.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

Claims 15 and 17 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 9 and 10 of

copending Application No. 10/160,545. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because each application include the steps of placing a one piece panel having a plurality of holes on a plurality of holes the holes having edges that either straight or at and angle and fitting the panel into the building structure.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

## Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 3, 5, 6, 11 and 16 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to John A. Ward whose telephone number is 571-272-2386. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Sandra O'Shea can be reached on 571-272-2378. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/005,255

Art Unit: 2875

Page 4

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

JAW June 9, 2005

JOHN ANTHONY WARD PRIMARY EXAMINER